Correlation of otosclerosis grading on computed tomography with stapes surgery outcomes

Original Article

Authors

Luís Rio Rodrigues Hospital Beatriz Ângelo, Loures, Portugal

Andréa Gaspar Hospital Beatriz Ângelo, Loures, Portugal

António Trigueiros Cunha Hospital Beatriz Ângelo, Loures, Portugal

Filipa Ruas Morgado Hospital Beatriz Ângelo, Loures, Portugal

João Barbosa Hospital Beatriz Ângelo, Loures, Portugal

Alberto Santos Hospital Beatriz Ângelo, Loures, Portugal

Carlos Macor Hospital Beatriz Ângelo, Loures, Portugal

Correspondence: Luís Rio Rodrigues luisriorodrigues@gmail.com

Article received on July 12, 2023. Accepted for publication on October 3, 2023.

Abstract

Objectives: To investigate whether computed tomography (CT) findings in patients with otosclerosis influence the audiometric prognosis after stapedial surgery.

Study design: Retrospective.

Material and methods: Patients undergoing stapes surgery, who had undergone prior ear CT and pre- and postoperative pure-tone audiometry (PTA), were included. The degree of otosclerosis on CT was classified according to the Symons and Fanning scale. Therapeutic success was defined as an air-bone gap ≤10 dB HL.

Results: The surgical success rate was 91%, with an average improvement of 6.9 dB HL in bone conduction after stapes surgery. Similar results were found in patients with cochlear foci, grades 2-3, on CT (6.5 dB HL).

Conclusion: The presence of cochlear otosclerosis foci on CT does not seem to influence postoperative audiometric outcomes.

Keywords: Otosclerosis; Stapedial Surgery; Computed Tomography

Introduction

Otosclerosis is a metabolic disease of the bone that mainly affects the otic capsule. The otospongiotic lesions occur in the active phase, while the late inactive phase involves lamellar bone deposition.¹ It may be caused by hereditary or hormonal factors.

Patients with otosclerosis most often present with conductive hearing loss, secondary to the development of abnormal foci of bone or fibrous growth on the stapes footplate following bone resorption². Sometimes, patients present with mixed deafness or pure sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL).

The effect of otosclerosis on cochlear function remains controversial. Some authors have argued that no histological changes support

SNHL in otosclerosis or that the SNHL does not surpass age-related hearing loss^{3–5}. Other authors have reported that the cochlear histology may be altered, with obstruction of the endolymphatic flow, degeneration of the spiral ligament, vascular blockages, and reduced endocochlear potentials⁶⁻⁸. Thus, osteodystrophy may progress to pure or mixed SNHL, possibly due to collagen deposition in the spiral ligament, resulting in its hyalinization⁹. Ear computed tomography (CT) is used in patients with an unclear otosclerosis diagnosis and during preparation for a stapedectomy. CT can detect the foci of otospongiosis or otosclerosis. Some studies have suggested that the severity of cochlear involvement on CT may be correlated with the degree of SNHL in otosclerosis^{11,12}.

In this context, this study aimed investigate whether patients with otosclerosis and cochlear changes on CT have a worse audiometric prognosis after stapedectomy.

Materials and Methods

This retrospective study included patients in whom stapedectomy was performed by different surgeons at the Beatriz Ângelo Hospital (*Hospital Beatriz Ângelo* – HBA), in Loures, Portugal, from January 2012 to January 2022. All patients underwent ear CT and puretone audiogram both pre- and postoperatively. Otosclerosis was classified according to the Symons and Fanning CT grading system¹³: grade 1 – solely fenestral, either sclerotic or spongiotic lesions; grade 2 – patchy cochlear disease (with or without fenestral involvement) localized to the basal cochlear turn (grade 2A), middle/apical turns (grade 2B), or both the basal turn and middle/ apical turns (grade 2C); grade 3 – diffuse confluent cochlear involvement of the otic capsule. Grade 2C is differentiated from grade 3 by the patchy focal versus diffuse confluent involvement of the entire cochlea, respectively. In this study, the patients were classified into grades 2A, 2B, 2C, and 3 based on cochlear involvement.

Therapeutic success was defined as an airbone gap (ABG) in the pure-tone audiogram ≤10 dB HL, without worsening of the bone conduction (BC) thresholds^{14,15}. ABG was calculated using the pure-tone average (PTA) at 250, 500, and 1000 Hz frequencies. The most recent audiograms available were considered for analysis in this study.

Results

The study population included a total of 46 patients, comprising 15 men (33%) and 31 women (67%), with a mean age of 47.5 (± 7.8) years. The surgical success rate was 91% (n=42). Based on the classification of foci of otospongiosis on CT, the patients were distributed as follows: seve n patients with grade 0; 32 patients with grade 1; and seven patients with grade 2-3. All patients with cochlear involvement on CT achieved therapeutic success. On an average, the most recent audiograms were performed 33 months after surgery, and there was no standardization of the time between the surgery and audiogram. The BC-PTA of the operated ear improved by 6.9 dB HL in patients with cochlear alterations and 6.5 dB HL in patients with cochlear foci on CT (grades 2–3).

Table 1 Results		
Foci of otospongiosis on CT - Symons and Fanning grading system		Patients with surgical success (ABG ≤ 10 dB HL)
Grade 0	n=7	n=5 (71%)
Grade 1 (only antefenestral involvement)	n=32	n=30 (94%)
Grade 2–3 (cochlear involvement)	n=7	n=7 (100%)
Total	n=46	n=42 (91,3%)

CT, computed tomography; ABG, air-bone gap

Discussion

The Symons and Fanning CT grading system showed a high inter- and intra-observer agreement in a previous study¹³. However, few studies have correlated the presence of foci of cochlear otosclerosis on CT with therapeutic success. In a study by Shint et al., who evaluated 437 cases of otosclerosis, otosclerosis was detected by CT in approximately 91% patients, although only 12% patients showed cochlear involvement¹¹. These values are similar to those found in our study in which 15% (n=7) patients showed cochlear involvement (grade 2-3 on CT). Although the number of patients with cochlear involvement was small in our study, stapedectomy successfully closed the ABG in all patients. After surgery, the BC thresholds improved on an average by 6.9 dB HL. This "overclosure" is in line with the findings of previous studies, in which the BC thresholds improved between 2.5 and 8.3 dB HL in approximately 81-90% of patients who underwent surgery^{16,17}. The patients with cochlear disease also showed a similar improvement in BC thresholds (6.5 dB HL), for a similar postoperative follow-up time. The patients with cochlear otosclerosis did not show increased BC degradation after stapedectomy.

In this study, stapedectomy was found to be an effective treatment for otosclerosis, regardless of whether the foci of otosclerosis were detected by CT. Even in long-term follow-up, no further deterioration in BC thresholds was observed after stapedectomy in these patients. However, this study has some limitations inherent to its retrospective design. For instance, audiometry was conducted at different points of time, and the surgeries were performed by different surgeons. Furthermore, the group of patients with grade 2–3 otosclerosis was small, which precluded comparative statistical analysis.

Conclusion

Stapedectomy provided a high therapeutic success rate, regardless of whether cochlear otosclerosis alterations were detected by CT.

The presence of foci of cochlear otosclerosis on CT did not affect the postoperative audiometric outcomes in our study. However, future studies should be conducted with more patients to confirm these results.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Data Confidentiality

The authors declare having followed the protocols in use at their working center regarding patients' data publication.

Protection of humans and animals

The authors declare that the procedures were followed according to the regulations established by the Clinical Research and Ethics Committee and to the 2013 Helsinki Declaration of the World Medical Association.

Funding Sources

This work did not receive any contribution, funding or scholarship.

Availability of scientific data

There are no datasets available, publicly related to this work.

Bibliographic references

1. Eshraghi AA, Ila K, Ocak E, Telischi FF. Advanced otosclerosis: stapes surgery or cochlear implantation? Otolaryngol Clin North Am. 2018 Apr;51(2):429-440. doi: 10.1016/j.otc.2017.11.012.

2. Hervochon R, Vauterin A, Lahlou G, Nguyen Y, Lamas G, Tankéré F. Is preoperative bone conduction shape a prognostic factor in otosclerosis surgery? Clin Otolaryngol. 2022 Jan;47(1):234-237. doi: 10.1111/coa.13885.

3. Young IM, Mikaelian DO, Trocki IM. Sensorineural hearing level in unilateral otosclerosis. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg (1979). 1979 Jul-Aug;87(4):486-90. doi: 10.1177/019459987908700414.

4. Virolainen E, Puhakka H, Rahko T. The cochlear component in operated otosclerosis after a mean period of 16 years. A follow-up study. Audiology. 1980;19(1):101-4. doi: 10.3109/00206098009072653.

5. Vincent R, Sperling NM, Oates J, Jindal M. Surgical findings and long-term hearing results in 3,050 stapedotomies for primary otosclerosis: a prospective study with the otology-neurotology database. Otol Neurotol. 2006 Dec;27(8 Suppl 2):S25-47. doi: 10.1097/01.

mao.0000235311.80066.df.

6. Rudic M, Keogh I, Wagner R, Wilkinson E, Kiros N, Ferrary E. et al. The pathophysiology of otosclerosis: review of current research. Hear Res. 2015 Dec;330(Pt A):51-6. doi: 10.1016/j.heares.2015.07.014.

7. Cureoglu S, Schachern PA, Ferlito A, Rinaldo A, Tsuprun V, Paparella MM. Otosclerosis: etiopathogenesis and histopathology. Am J Otolaryngol. 2006 Sep-Oct;27(5):334-40. doi: 10.1016/j.amjoto.2005.11.001.

8. Declau F, Spaendonck MV, Timmermans JP, Michaels L, Liang J, Qiu JP. et al. Prevalence of histologic otosclerosis: an unbiased temporal bone study in Caucasians. Adv Otorhinolaryngol. 2007;65:6-16. doi: 10.1159/000098663.

9. Merkus P, van Loon MC, Smit CF, Smits C, de Cock AF, Hensen EF. Decision making in advanced otosclerosis: an evidence-based strategy. Laryngoscope. 2011 Sep;121(9):1935-41. doi: 10.1002/lary.21904.

10. Assiri M, Khurayzi T, Alshalan A, Alsanosi A. Cochlear implantation among patients with otosclerosis: a systematic review of clinical characteristics and outcomes. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2022 Jul;279(7):3327-3339. doi: 10.1007/s00405-021-07036-5.

11. Shin YJ, Fraysse B, Deguine O, Cognard C, Charlet JP, Sévely A. Sensorineural hearing loss and otosclerosis: a clinical and radiologic survey of 437 cases. Acta Otolaryngol. 2001 Jan;121(2):200-4. doi: 10.1080/000164801300043505.

12. Kiyomizu K, Tono T, Yang D, Haruta A, Kodama T, Komune S. Correlation of CT analysis and audiometry in Japanese otosclerosis. Auris Nasus Larynx. 2004 Jun;31(2):125-9. doi: 10.1016/j.anl.2004.01.006.

13. Lee TC, Aviv RI, Chen JM, Nedzelski JM, Fox AJ, Symons SP. CT grading of otosclerosis. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2009 Aug;30(7):1435-9. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A1558.

14. Kisilevsky VE, Dutt SN, Bailie NA, Halik JJ. Hearing results of 1145 stapedotomies evaluated with Amsterdam hearing evaluation plots. J Laryngol Otol. 2009 Jul;123(7):730-6. doi: 10.1017/S0022215109004745.

15. Vincent R, Sperling NM, Oates J, Jindal M. Surgical findings and long-term hearing results in 3,050 stapedotomies for primary otosclerosis: a prospective study with the otology-neurotology database. Otol Neurotol. 2006 Dec;27(8 Suppl 2):S25-47. doi: 10.1097/01. mao.0000235311.80066.df.

16. Perez R, De Almeida J, Nedzelski JM, Chen JM. Variations in the "Carhart notch" and overclosure after laserassisted stapedotomy in otosclerosis. Otol Neurotol. 2009 Dec;30(8):1033-6. doi: 10.1097/MAO.0b013e31818edf00.

17. Salmon C, Barriat S, Demanez L, Magis D, Lefebvre P. Audiometric results after stapedotomy operations in patients with otosclerosis and preoperative small air-bone gaps. Audiol Neurootol. 2015;20(5):330-6. doi: 10.1159/000433510